Gut Microbiome: Toxicant Perturbation and Stability

Syed Hashsham

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Center for Microbial Ecology

Risk e-Learning Webinar Series The Interplay Between Environmental Exposures and Infectious Agents October 31, 2016

Superfund Research Program

Environmental Microbial and Mammalian Biomolecular Responses to AhR Ligands







A. Gut Microbiome

- Background and Objective
- Treg/Th17 system, TCDD, and SFB
- Hypothesis and Experimental Details
- Results

B. Key Challenges Ahead

- Communication channels
- Predictive capabilities
- Markers/Gut chips
- Interventions

C. Summary

Gut Microbiome: Diseases & Interventions

DISEASES Allergies Asthma Anxiety Autism Autoimmune Cardiovascular Crohn's Depression **IBD** Mood Disorder Migraine Multiple Sclerosis NAFLD Obesity Parkinson's **Spinal Cord Injury** Stroke Type 2 Diabetes

Gut Microbiome

Host

Commensals Keystone Species Opportunistic Pathogens Pathobionts Pathogens

ENVRIONMENAL EXPOSURE Toxicants

ORGANS

Brain Mouth Heart Kidney Liver Immune System Colon Tissue Muscle

INTERVENTIONS

Fecal Transplant Psychobiotics Pre- and Probiotics Antibiotics Food Habits CRISPR Phage

Objective: Characterize the effect of specific gut microbiome members on Treg/Th17 System with and without TCDD



With TCDD, AhR promotes Treg and suppresses Th17



Why Segmented Filamentous Bacteria (SFB)?

Obligate symbiont

Extensive auxotroph

- No genes for amino acids, vitamins/cofactors, nucleic acids
- Host-specific

Candidatus **Savagella** | *Environmental Microbiology* **14** (6): 1462-2920 | 2012 SFB cultivation is now possible using TC₇ cell lines (BioTechniques, 59 (2):94–98, 2015



Ivanov et al., Cell: 2009

SFB in humans?

2015

Dig Dis Sci. 60(10): 2953-62

SFB in patients by qPCR. Less in IBD constipated, and more in IBD diarrhea.

2013

Yin et al., ISME Journal

251 humans: majority colonized between ages 2 to 3

> 2013 Hans Jonsson 2009 Snel et al.



Hypothesis

TCDD exposure disrupts the Treg /Th17 system and specific gut microbial members are capable of preventing this disruption.

Two Possibilities!





Experimental Details C57BL6

Traditional

Gnotobiotic

TCDD: 0.01 to 30 μ g/kg every 4 d 30 d study 120 d study (90 d + 30 d recovery) 8 per group

Tim Zacharewski's Lab

Cage separation

TCDD: 30 µg/kg every 4 d 56 d study 4 per group

•GF

•SFB

•B. fragilis

•B. fragilis + SFB

UM Germ-Free Facility

High Throughput (Wafergen) or qPCR

Key measurements

- mRNA expression of ileal immunology genes (nCounter: **547** Immunology gene targets)
- T-cells in blood/spleen (Flow cytometry)
- microRNA expression in ileum (nCounter: 600 mouse microRNAs)

Fecal pellets, ilium, cecum, blood

Fecal pellets, cecum

Gnotobiotic C57BL6: Gene Expression



Compared to GF, SFB has more Up-regulated genes. With TCDD, SFB has more Down-regulated genes.

Gnotobiotic C57BL6: Treg





Parametric two-way ANOVA

Gnotobiotic C57BL6: Th17





Parametric two-way ANOVA

Gnotobiotic C57BL6: *B. fragilis and* SFB



Traditional C57BL6: Dose Response & Recovery



Overall Interaction of TCDD, B. fragilis, and SFB



Traditional C57BL6: Increase in Antimicrobial Resistance Genes



µg/kg TCDD (LS and RS groups)

B. Key Challenges Ahead



2. Who is doing what and how?



microRNA expression in SFB-associated mice is much greater than Germ-free or *B. fragilis*associated groups!



Ivanov et al., *Cell*: 2009

Spatial resolution

More sensitive to work with 1-10 µl blood At all molecular levels

MicroRNAs may alter the gut microbiota through fecal microRNAs, affecting growth and other cellular processes (*Liu et al., 2016*).

3. Can we predict the gut behavior – quantitatively?





4b. How do we know when something is wrong?

Gut Chips



Amplification-based qPCR or Lowdensity Chips



Hybridization-based Arrays



High Throughput Sequencing

Fluidigm (24 primer sets) GULDA (Gut Low Density Array): 31 targets

HuMiChip (500 functional genes, 180,000 probes) HuGChip (66 families, 4000 probes) IBS/IBD Chip (300 bacteria, 54 probes) Numerous but most focused on 16S rRNA gene based 5. How to stop, encourage, or manage them?

Pollutants, 17 Mathematical Modeling, 20 Toxicant, 11 CRISPR, 15 Psychobiotic, 2 Antibiotic, 608 Dysbiosis, 481 Food, 456

Stability, 124 Fecal Transplant, 54 Prebiotics, 173

Management, 174

Intervention, 364

Total of 4600 "Gut Microbiome" Publications in Web of Science (As of Oct 26, 2016)

Probiotics, 419



- 1. TCDD and SFB/*B. fragilis* interact through AhR in a predictable manner in terms of immune cell response. Such interactions may establish the basis for intervention.
- 2. Measuring smaller effects of toxicants on gut microbiome members through the host may be difficult.
- 3. Gut member activity, mode of communication with the host, quantitative predictive models, and markers of healthy/sick gut microbiome are some of the key challenges ahead in gut microbiome research.

Gut Microbiome



Play Store



App Store

Feedback: hashshamlab@gmail.com



🙂 Gut Members

- Gut Dynamics



Gut-Brain Axis

🗄 Gut Math



Gut Management

Gut Chips



Gut Vocabulary



Acknowledgements



Research Supported by National Institutes of Environmental Health Sciences (2P42ES004911)



Co-PIs & Collaborators

James Tiedje, Norb Kaminski, Tim Zacharewski, Gerben Zylstra, James Cole, Benli Chai, and Brad Upham Robert Stedtfeld, Maggie Williams, Robert Crawford, Tiffany Stedtfeld, Shao Xiangwen, Prianca Bhaduri, and Kelly Fader



Gnotobiotic study was conducted at the University of Michigan's Germ-Free facility with Dr. Kathryn Eaton. *SFB source: Candidatus* Arthromitus SFB-mouse-Japan was provided by Dr. Tomomi Kuwahara under MTA.